

author has chosen to realize the traditions for the sake of their continued transmission. To that extent the description of the reader as 'co-authoring' needs a more systematic presentation. On the issue of the suitability of the model for the particularities of Matthew's Gospel, it has to be said that the term 'suppliants' limits the interactions of the text to Barnet's key theme of the Gospel as reconstituting the 'unredeemed heart'. In fact the reader is also required by the text to take notice of the piety and search for justice within the character relations, necessitating for the reader a still further surplus of meaning and action.

doi:10.1093/jts/fli015

IVOR H. JONES
Lincoln

The Gospel of Matthew's Dependence on the Didache. By ALAN J. P. GARROW (Journal for the Study of the New Testament Supplement Series, 254). Pp. xxxiii + 272. London and New York: T & T Clark International (A Continuum Imprint), 2004. ISBN 0 6264 6977 9.

THE author argues that Matthew's Gospel depended directly upon a version of the Didache essentially similar to that rediscovered by Bryennios, except for the absence of Did. 8:1b, 11:3b, 15:3-4, and 16:7. That rediscovered Didache is a composite work and its composite nature has to be explored in detail in order that the precise parts which Matthew used can be identified. Part I presents the case for five stages: a Peri/base layer, a Prophetic layer, a modifying Teacher layer, a Gospel layer, and a Jerusalem addition. The opening chapter of Part I has a strategic role; it separates Didache 9 and 10, the former being allocated eventually to the Peri/Base layer and the later eventually to the Prophetic layer. The reasoning is by no means convincing, particularly against the background of increasing uncertainty concerning the character and terminology of early Christian eating practices. But when the use of the word *κλάσματος* in 9:3 is defined as a 'fragment of bread', apparently differentiating Matthew 9 from the 'filling meal' which according to 10:1 has just taken place, the argumentation falls foul of the use of the same word in 9:4, in the sentence *τὸ κλάσμα διεσκορπισμένον ἐπάνω τῶν ὀρέων καὶ συναχθὲν ἐγένετο ἓν*, where a multitude of scattered parts of the one bread is designated by the same singular noun. The allocation in Part I of Didache 8

to the Modifying Teacher layer as evidence of a tradition prior to the Gospel layer (Did. 8:2b), inclusive of and prior to a form of the Lord's Prayer similar to that found in Matthew's Gospel, is similarly a doubtful hypothesis. As Garrow admits, the three formal (although formal in the sense of 'conceptually recognized') features, which are used to identify the Modifying Teacher material elsewhere in his argument, are absent from Didache 8; moreover Niederwimmer, whose work Garrow finds in many respects helpful, establishes the parallels between the doxology of the Lord's Prayer in Did. 8:2e and the doxologies in 9:2-3, and in 9:4 with its parallels in 10:2, 4, 5, passages which are allocated to different layers of tradition by Garrow. At the very least the form of the Lord's Prayer known to the Didache according to the Bryennios text may be said to reflect an association not found in Matthew, namely a specific link with forms of worship found in the Didache in chs. 8-10. Part I also includes a reconstruction of the text of Didache 16, which will eventually be considered alongside the Peri-Base layer.

Part II begins by setting out the links between the Didache and Matthew's Gospel as these appear in the five layers designated in Part I. The major issue addressed in Part II concerns the method by which dependency can be established, and how that can be established in the light of the possibilities of reversal in the direction of dependency. The author's 'trawl' through a list of redaction critics (p. 199, n. 3) with respect to Didache 16 and Matthew 24 leads him to the view that 'it is difficult to identify Matthew's original creation with any real confidence because he so clearly relied on written sources'. Such a conclusion does not, however, result in a similar caution with regard to redaction-critical work on the Didache, despite the fact that the redaction-critical work in Matthew can rely on 28 long chapters and the Didache on 16 short ones. Statistical study of redactional language would judge the Didache too short for any firm conclusions. Nevertheless we read on p. 192 with regard to the use of *τότε*: 'There is no difficulty in seeing the Didache apocalypse as responsible for the introduction of this change to Dan. 7:13.' Quite apart from the point which Garrow draws with approval from Tuckett on p. 195 and which is particularly relevant to the use of an LXX text, that 'the later document may be several stages removed from the earlier one', such a redactional vocabulary judgement lacks all the essential nuances of discussion. (For an adequate basis see Stephanie L. Black, *Sentence Conjunction in the Gospel of Matthew: καί, δέ, τότε, γάρ*,

σὺν and *Asyndeton* in Narrative Discourse [Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series, 216, Studies in New Testament Greek, 9, London Sheffield Academic Press, 2002], ch 7, especially her comment on the Matthean 'relatively small sample size' and her note 35 on the difference between conjunctive and adverbial uses of 'then') Despite Garrow's constant use of 'possible', 'probable', and 'feasible' of the various relational hypotheses, he cannot by these qualifications make up for a lack of consistency in his attitudes to redactional criteria Regarding the spread of Matthean parallels in the five suggested layers of the Didache where Garrow prefers a dependence of Matthew on the Didache, no clear case is made for a dependence in that direction For example, if the previous point regarding the Lord's Prayer holds good (that is, the form of the Lord's Prayer belongs, in the Didache, with the Didache's doxological material) a similar point could be made with respect also to Did 9 5b and Did 14 2 The case for the dependence of Matthew on the Didache has yet to be made No doubt there is more work to be done on the stages behind each of the two documents and on possible points of contact between these, but the layers of the Didache suggested here do not provide a secure base for such further study

doi 10 1093/jts/fl1016

IVOR H JONES
Lincoln

Jesus' Defeat of Death. Persuading Mark's Early Readers.
By PETER G. BOLT Pp. xx+360. (Society for New Testament Studies Monograph Series, 125) Cambridge. Cambridge University Press, 2003 ISBN 0 521 83036 2. £50; \$75

IN this work, which is a revision of a Ph D thesis carried out under the supervision of Professor Graham Stanton, and accepted by the University of London in 1997, Peter G Bolt sets out to assess 'the impact of Mark's Gospel on its early Graeco-Roman readers' (p 1), focusing his work on the role of the healing/exorcism accounts in the Gospel To this end, he argues that 'the suppliants in the thirteen healing/exorcism scenes have an important role in engaging the implied readers, and, because they represent a sample of life from the real world, the suppliants enable flesh-and-blood Graeco-Roman readers to "become" the implied readers, enter the story, and so feel its impact' (p 1)



Copyright and Use:

As an ATLAS user, you may print, download, or send articles for individual use according to fair use as defined by U.S. and international copyright law and as otherwise authorized under your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement.

No content may be copied or emailed to multiple sites or publicly posted without the copyright holder(s)' express written permission. Any use, decompiling, reproduction, or distribution of this journal in excess of fair use provisions may be a violation of copyright law.

This journal is made available to you through the ATLAS collection with permission from the copyright holder(s). The copyright holder for an entire issue of a journal typically is the journal owner, who also may own the copyright in each article. However, for certain articles, the author of the article may maintain the copyright in the article. Please contact the copyright holder(s) to request permission to use an article or specific work for any use not covered by the fair use provisions of the copyright laws or covered by your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement. For information regarding the copyright holder(s), please refer to the copyright information in the journal, if available, or contact ATLA to request contact information for the copyright holder(s).

About ATLAS:

The ATLA Serials (ATLAS®) collection contains electronic versions of previously published religion and theology journals reproduced with permission. The ATLAS collection is owned and managed by the American Theological Library Association (ATLA) and received initial funding from Lilly Endowment Inc.

The design and final form of this electronic document is the property of the American Theological Library Association.